

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/673;532	11/27/2000	Tetsujiro Kondo	450101-02344	7536	
20999	7590 07/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			TRAN, THAI Q		
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2616		
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/673,532	KONDO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thai Tran	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 / 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27,37-42,49-57 and 64-75 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27,37-42,49-57 and 64-75 is/are r 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2000</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination 	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obe e drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Appoint documents have been appoint (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		٠.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/00 & 12/2/00	Paper No(s)	Immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-27, 37-42, 49-57, 64, 68, and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hamilton, Jr. et al. (US 6,192,162 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses an image-signal processing apparatus (Fig. 1) for processing an input image signal at a position of each pixel, said input image signal having any one of various color components, said apparatus comprising:

extracting means (the compute luminance block 42 of Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 13-28) for extracting a plurality of pixels located near each pixel of interest of the input image signal;

Art Unit: 2616

class-determining means (the classify pixel block 44 of Fig. 3, col. 4, line 28 to col. 5, line 18) for determining a class from the pixels extracted by the extraction means; and

pixel-generating means (the adaptive edge boost block 46 and the compute final image block 36 of Fig. 2, col. 5, lines 16-46) for generating a pixel at a position of the pixel of interest in accordance with the class determined by the class-determining means, said pixel having a color component different from at least the color component of the pixel f interest.

Regarding claim 2, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses the claimed that the pixelgenerating means generates a pixel having all color components at the position of the pixel of interest (the compute final image block 36 of Fig. 2, col. 5, lines 30-32).

Regarding claim 3, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses the claimed that the pixelgenerating means comprises storage means for storing a set of prediction coefficients
of each class and operation means for performing an operation on a set of prediction
coefficients which corresponds to the class determined by the class-determining means
and the pixels located near the pixel of interest which have been extracted by the
extraction means, thereby to generate a pixel having a color component different from
at least the color component of the pixel of interest (the boost kernels shown in Figs.
6A-D, col. 5, lines 19-29).

Regarding claim 4, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses the claimed the operation means performs an operation on a linear combination of the set of prediction coefficients and

Art Unit: 2616

the values of the pixels located near the pixel of interest (the boost kernels shown in Figs. 6A-D, col. 5, lines 19-29).

Regarding claim 5, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses the claimed that the extraction means extracts at least one different pixel and supplies the same to the class-determining means and the operation means (the compute luminance block 42 of Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 13-28).

Regarding claim 6, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses the claimed that the color component represents a color of red, blue or green (CCD disclosed in col. 2, lines 39-60).

Regarding claim 7, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses the claimed acquisition means (CCD disclosed in col. 2, lines 39-60) for acquiring an image signal having a pixel at each pixel position, and pixel having one of various color components.

Regarding claim 8, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses the claimed that the acquisition means is a solid-state imaging element (CCD disclosed in col. 2, lines 39-60).

Regarding claim 9, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses the claimed that the solid-state imaging element is a CCD image sensor of the Bayer arrangement (CCD disclosed in col. 2, lines 39-60).

Method claims 10-18 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in corresponding apparatus claims 1-9 above.

Recording medium claims 19-27 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the corresponding apparatus claims 1-9 above and the computer readable storage medium disclosed in col. 5, lines 33-42.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 37, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses an image-signal processing apparatus (Fig. 1) for processing an input image signal, said input image signal having a prescribed number of sample values which constitute one image and each of which represents any one of various colors at each pixel, said apparatus comprising:

extracting means (the compute luminance block 42 of Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 13-28) for extracting a plurality of pixels located near each pixel of interest of the input image signal;

class-determining means (the classify pixel block 44 of Fig. 3, col. 4, line 28 to col. 5, line 18) for determining a class from the pixels extracted by the extraction means; and

output image-signal generating means (the adaptive edge boost block 46 and the compute final image block 36 of Fig. 2, col. 5, lines 16-46) for generating an output image signal having more sample values than the prescribed number, for the various colors, by processing each pixel of the input image signal in accordance with the class determined by the class-determining means.

Regarding claim 38, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses the claimed that the output image-signal generating means comprises storage means for storing a set of prediction coefficients for each class and operation means for performing an operation on a set of prediction coefficients which corresponds to the class determined by the class-determining means and the pixels located near the pixel of interest which have been extracted by the extraction means, thereby to generate the output image signal (the

Art Unit: 2616

classify pixel block 44 of Fig. 3, col. 4, line 28 to col. 5, line 18 and the boost kernels shown in Figs. 6A-D, col. 5, lines 19-29).

Method claims 39-40 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the corresponding apparatus claims 37-38 above.

Recording medium claims 41-42 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the corresponding apparatus claims 37-38 and the computer readable storage medium disclosed in col. 5, lines 33-42.

Regarding claim 49, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses an image-signal processing apparatus (Fig. 1) for processing an input image signal at a position of each pixel, said input image signal having any one of various color components, said apparatus comprising:

extracting means (the compute luminance block 42 of Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 13-28) for extracting a plurality of pixels located near each pixel of interest of the input image signal, each pixel having a color component of the highest density of all color components;

class-determining means (the classify pixel block 44 of Fig. 3, col. 4, line 28 to col. 5, line 18) for determining a class from the pixels extracted by the extraction means; and

pixel-generating means (the adaptive edge boost block 46 and the compute final image block 36 of Fig. 2, col. 5, lines 16-46) for generating a pixel at a position of the pixel of interest in accordance with the class determined by the class-determining

Art Unit: 2616

means, said pixel having a color component different from at least the color component of the pixel f interest.

Regarding claim 50, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses the claimed that the pixel-generating means comprising storage means for storing a set of prediction coefficients of each class and operation means for performing an operation on a set of prediction coefficients which corresponds to the class determined by the class-determining means and the pixels located near the pixel of interest which have been extracted by the extraction means, thereby to generate the pixel having the different color component (the boost kernels shown in Figs. 6A-D, col. 5, lines 19-29).

Regarding claim 51, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses the claimed that the pixelgenerating means generates a pixel having all color components at the position of the pixel of interest (the boost kernels shown in Figs. 6A-D, col. 5, lines 19-29).

Method claims 52-54 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the corresponding apparatus claims 49-51.

Recording medium claims 55-57 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the corresponding apparatus claims 49-51 and the computer readable storage medium disclosed in col. 5, lines 33-42.

Regarding claim 64, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses an image-signal processing apparatus (Fig. 1) for processing an input image signal at a position of each pixel, said input image signal having any one of various color components, said apparatus comprising:

extracting means (the compute luminance block 42 of Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 13-28) for extracting a plurality of pixels for each color component, from pixels located near each pixel of interest of the input image signal;

class-determining means including a characteristic-data generating section (the compute luminance block 42 of Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 13-28) for generating characteristic data about the pixels of each color component, from the pixels of each color component which have been extracted by the extraction means, and a class-determining section (the classify pixel block 44 of Fig. 3, col. 4, line 28 to col. 5, line 18) for determining a class from the characteristic data generated for each color component; and

pixel-generating means (the adaptive edge boost block 46 and the compute final image block 36 of Fig. 2, col. 5, lines 16-46) for generating a pixel in accordance with the class determined by the class-determining means, said pixel having a color component different from at least the color component of the pixel f interest.

Method claim 68 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in corresponding apparatus claim 64 above.

Recording medium claim 72 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in corresponding apparatus claim 64 above and the computer readable storage medium disclosed in col. 5, lines 33-42.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2616

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 65-67, 69-71, and 73-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamilton, Jr. et al (US 6,192,162 B1) in view of Kondo et al (US 6,463,178 B1).

Regarding claim 65, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses all the claimed limitations except for providing that the characteristic-data generating section generates, as the characteristic data, a space activity of the pixels of each color component, which have been extracted by the extraction means.

Kondo et al teaches, in an image processing device, the use of ADRC (Adaptive Dynamic Range Coding) for encoding the image signal (col. 6, lines 39-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the ADRC as taught by Kondo into Hamilton, Jr. et al's system in order to compress the image data to increase the storage capacity.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 66, Kondo et al also discloses the claimed that the characteristic-data generating section generates the space activity by performing of ADRC process on the pixels of each color component (col. 6, lines 39-52).

Regarding claim 67, Hamilton, Jr. et al discloses the claimed that the extraction means extracts the pixels corresponding to each color component from pixels existing in a region near the pixel of interest (the compute luminance block 42 of Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 13-28).

Method claims 69-71 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the corresponding apparatus claims 65-67 above.

Recording medium claims 73-75 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the corresponding apparatus claims 65-67 above and the computer readable storage medium disclosed in col. 5, lines 33-42.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited references relate to processing image signal.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7382. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273 8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTQ

PRIMATE PARTITION OF THE PRIMATE PRIMA